1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3	RICHMOND DIVISION
4	
5	
6	CAROLYN WITT, et al., on behalf of : herself and all others similarly : Civil Action No.
7	herself and all others similarly : Civil Action No. situated : 3:15cv386
8	vs. :
9	: May 22, 2017 CORELOGIC SAFERENT, LLC
10	;
11	
12	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF THE CONFERENCE CALL
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	Leonard A. Bennett, Esquire Consumer Litigation Associates, PC
18	763 J Clyde Morris Boulevard Suite 1A
19	Newport News, Virginia 23601 Counsel for the plaintiff
20	David N. Anthony, Esquire
21	Troutman Sanders, LLP Troutman Sanders Building
22	1001 Haxall Point Richmond, Virginia 23219
23	Counsel for the defendant
24	Peppy Peterson, RPR
25	Official Court Reporter United States District Court

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Hello. All right, this is Witt against CoreLogic, civil 3:15CV386. Mr. Bennett, you called in here and said you had some kind of problem with the date of May 25th because you made a mistake in scheduling or something to that effect. What's the situation?

MR. BENNETT: Yes, sir. I didn't know it was this Thursday until after I confirmed with my (unintelligible) --

THE COURT: We can't understand you. Mr. Bennett, you are mush-mouthing. It's probably your telephone.

MR. BENNETT: My oldest -- I'm just --

THE COURT: That's better.

MR. BENNETT: My oldest son is moving from elementary school to middle school, and there is a big ceremony at ten o'clock on Thursday where the kids dress up in a suit and they go on stage and they say something, and I had not put that into my electronic calendar when I set the 25th, and it's entirely my mistake, and Mr. Anthony has been kind enough to not object if the Court would permit us to reschedule it, the hearing, this Thursday morning. I can do it in the afternoon. Of course, I can do it at any other time the Court would permit.

THE COURT: I have a full afternoon. I can't do it in the afternoon, and I've moved the things that are in that afternoon once before already, so I can't move them again. The

next time -- I have been reviewing these because I thought we were going to have a hearing on Thursday, and some of them I can decide without argument. I don't need any argument on some of these things. So I don't know that it will take quite as long as I thought it would. Are you in Combs against Capital One, Mr. Bennett?

MR. BENNETT: I am, Judge.

THE COURT: That thing has a summary judgment motion set on a Friday. I don't know why that's the case.

MR. BENNETT: It settled, Judge.

THE COURT: The case settled, didn't it?

MR. BENNETT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I was looking at my calendar. I'm not going to do it on Friday. Let me tell you what I have in mind. I have heard nothing from you, from any of you of the requirement of paragraph four of the order that was May 10th telling me what steps you were going to do to develop the record in relation to the litigation vendor. So I'm going to issue an order and tell you what to do.

The first thing is, wholly apart from anything the defendant wants, I want to know what you want to do, Mr.

Bennett. I don't care what the defendant wants to do in this submission. I want to know what you want to do. So when can you get that to me?

MR. BENNETT: Tomorrow --

1 THE COURT: A list. MR. BENNETT: -- by 5:00 p.m. 2 3 THE COURT: Can you do that by 5:00 p.m. tomorrow? 4 MR. BENNETT: Yes, sir. I've already given the 5 defendant the list. 6 THE COURT: I want the list. Has the defendant got a 7 list? 8 MR. ANTHONY: Your Honor, no, because we think the 9 issue has been resolved. That's, I guess, still --10 THE COURT: How has it been resolved? 11 MR. ANTHONY: Well, let me say it this way: 12 probably not the right word choice. The issues that we think 13 that are pertinent to addressing the issues from the vendor, we believe we have addressed or we've told Mr. Bennett that we 14 15 would address. THE COURT: Well, listen, everybody has -- you all 16 have been at this meet-and-confer process, it's been fouled up 17 18 to a fare-the-well. I don't know why it's been fouled up. I 19 want a list from you of everything you have promised to do and 20 the date you promised to do it by 5:00 tomorrow. 21 MR. ANTHONY: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: And we're going to have a hearing on this vendor issue, because I don't want these -- I don't think that 23 these people are allowed to do what they're doing here, but I 24

don't know that because I have very little information.

25

sounds funny to me, but I don't know.

I will want to know, and I'm going to have a hearing to settle, who hired these people, who pays those people, why they were hired, what the contract arrangements are, who they are, what the backgrounds are of the people who are doing the work, what is the staff, and what is the involvement of Troutman Sanders in any or all of this so I can understand exactly where we are. I told you before I know I can rely on Troutman Sanders. I don't have any knowledge about these other people, so I don't know who I can rely on or why.

And I will need all of that. I'm going to set a schedule for you. I'm going to schedule a hearing on it. It's going to be independent of all of this, and it may affect how the rest of this case proceeds and who gets assessed what in the way of fees and costs for discovery.

I don't know where we're heading on it, but I'm going to get to the bottom of this so I don't ever have to deal with it again in this case or any other case, so I know what's going on.

I see here positions being advanced by the defense that I cannot believe would be advanced, and I'm taken aback by it, so I want to understand what's going on here. With the inputs I get from you all by 5:00 tomorrow, I'll set a schedule and we'll do what's to be done.

Now, as I understand it, Mr. Anthony, the notebook

that you all sent me with a letter dated May 18th, 2017, represents the entirety of the privilege claims, of the documents of privilege in response to the 2017 document requests; is that correct or not correct?

MR. ANTHONY: Yes, Your Honor, that's correct.

THE COURT: So now I understand that.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: I'll see you on the morning of June 1st at 10:00 a.m., and that will be on these motions to compel that I have here. Docket number 195 is the plaintiff's, and 186 is the defendant's. And then if there's anything that I need to take up in respect of these statements of position on 194 for the plaintiffs and the defendants 193, I'll take those up excepting only the vendor issue which I will schedule and get to the bottom of. I don't intend to let that slow us down.

Now, I have one other question. When is the certification motion due in this case? Mr. Bennett?

MR. BENNETT: Judge, yes, sir. The motion was already filed. The opposition was filed on Thursday. Our reply, I believe, is due June 2nd.

THE COURT: And what do these discovery requests have to do with certification?

MR. BENNETT: We don't believe they have anything to

do -- or that they impair class certification. This case is 2 not bifurcated. 3 THE COURT: I understand that. My point is, we can 4 still go on with the certification. 5 MR. BENNETT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you agree with that, Mr. Anthony? 6 7 MR. ANTHONY: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: All right. So you might well get ready 9 on the schedule I've just told you. We'll move that, the 10 hearing of the 25th to June 1st if I need it. I'm going to 11 continue plowing through, and I may just decide this without any further argument. It's been briefed and briefed and 12 13 briefed, and I think I understand it now. And we will go forward from here. Do we have a date on the certification 14 15 motion argument? 16 MR. ANTHONY: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: What date is it? I don't see --18 MR. ANTHONY: Your Honor, I believe I have it on my 19 calendar at June 19th at 10 o'clock. 20 MR. BENNETT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: I don't have it at all. It's the class certification? 22 23 MR. ANTHONY: Yes, sir. You set the -- when we set the trial dates and you set all the briefing, the schedule that 24 Mr. Bennett just alluded to was added, so it's my understanding 25

that it's June 19th at 10:00 a.m.

THE COURT: All right. That just didn't make it on my calendar, I guess. We have it here, it's just not on my little book.

MR. ANTHONY: Okay.

THE COURT: Then I have something at 10 o'clock called Hardin against Gillman class certification. Is that yours, Mr. Bennett?

MR. BENNETT: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. I'll find out what that is.

I think that takes care of all we need to do today. If I decide a motion before the hearing on June 1st at 10:00 a.m., I don't need to hear anything about it. We're going to have to move on with this matter and get it straight.

I will set a date for a hearing, an evidentiary hearing at which to deal with this vendor question that has been raised in some of the papers by the defendant, and we will -- we'll abide the event once we have a record and really understand what the facts are, because until I get all that down, it may be that the perceptions that I have are just simply incorrect and I don't need to worry about it. For now, we will build a record and see what's going on. All right, is there anything else you all need to take up?

MR. ANTHONY: Not from the defendants, Your Honor.

MR. BENNETT: No, sir.

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much for
2	being available.
3	
4	(End of proceedings.)
5	
6	
7	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
8	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
9	
10	
11	/s/
12	P. E. Peterson, RPR Date
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	